



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,944	10/03/2005	Anthony E. Bolton	355908-2976	9562
38706	7590	01/16/2009		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
975 PAGE MILL ROAD			ORWIG, KEVIN S	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/527,944		<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOLTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin S. Orwig		<b>Art Unit</b> 1611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin S. Orwig. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Representative from Foley & Lardner LLP. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner contacted applicant's representative firm (the attorney of record was not clear), Foley & Lardner LLP, to confirm that no response had been filed to the non-final rejection dated 6/13/08. A representative from the firm confirmed that no response had been filed and that the case had been abandoned.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David J Blanchard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643	/Kevin S. Orwig/ Examiner, Art Unit 1611
--	---